

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigninia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/824,763		04/04/2001	Hiroshi Hattori	1-127 1461		
23400	7590	07/16/2003				
POSZ & BETHARDS, PLC				EXAMINER		
11250 ROGER BACON DRIVE SUITE 10				VU, BAO Q		
RESTON, V	'A 20190	)		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				2838	2838	
J				DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003	DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

r		AX	_
	Application No	Applicant(s)	
•	09/824,763	HATTORI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bao Q. Vu	2838	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply to the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>I</i> Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,12 and 13</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>11, 14-16</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. 		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	•		
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior action for a list of the list of the prior action for a list of the pr</li></ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).	
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro-</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic</li> </ul>	* *		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 09/824,763

Art Unit: 2838

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Specification

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

#### **Double Patenting**

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-4 of U. S. Patent No. 6,417,652 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: The connection of the power supply in relation with the ground wire and switch and having a plurality of switching circuits connected in parallel with each other to drive the load.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kon'i et al. (USP 6,166,453). Kon'i et al. teaches a load driving apparatus (see figure 7) having a control unit (inherent), connection of power supply to switch and to ground (inherent), to control the switches (3, 10, 14, 106) that are in parallel.

### Claim Rej ctions - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 9. Claims 5-10, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kon'i et al. (USP 6,166,453) in view of Ueda (USP 5,848,366).

  Kon'i et al. discloses the claimed invention (see above paragraphs) except for the use of

a RC time constant circuit and comparator detection circuitry. Ueda discloses that it is known in the art to provide the use of a RC time constant circuit and comparator detection circuitry (see figure 3A). Ueda discloses a comparator (31-34) with a reference voltage input (see figure 3B) and the other input having a RC (resistor capacitor) - time constant circuit (see figure 3A). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide the

Art Unit: 2838

electrical driving apparatus of loads for a vehicle of Kon'i et al. with the use of a RC time constant circuit and comparator detection circuitry of Ueda, in order to provide a an improved load driving control system for a vehicle that can effectively ensure driving a load when abnormal conditions exists thereby achieving fail-safe options.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 11, 13-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: None of the prior art alone or in combination discloses the use of a one-shot multivibrator and a control unit that includes an excessive heat detection unit for detecting heat conditions on the chip.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 12. examiner should be directed to Bao Q. Vu whose telephone number is (703) 308-2318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Fridays, 8:00AM- 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael S. Sherry can be reached on (703) 308-1680. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

\* Application/Control Number: 09/824,763

Art Unit: 2838

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Bao Q. Vu

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2838

June 20, 2003